



Tracking and muon g-2

Brendan Casey 2 August 2016





Outline

- Why we need tracking
 - Two simple examples
 - Two more complex examples
 - What we learn from up-down asymmetries
- Design
 - Driving factors
 - Alternatives
 - What we finally chose
 - Results from prototypes
- Construction
 - What is happening where
 - Progress to date
- Tracking algorithms
 - Progress to date
- Conclusions



What we just learned from Chris:

Experimental goal is a measurement of muon g-2 to 140 ppb precision





One more thing....

- We do not measure one muon at a time
 - Roughly 10,000 muons injected into the ring
 - They do not all have exactly the magic momentum
 - They are not all on the magic radius
- They pretty much go everywhere, we use terms like
 - The beam breaths
 - The beam swims



- This beam motion couples into both the measurements of the muon and proton spin precession frequencies in non-trivial ways.
 - We have to have a complete understanding of the beam dynamics in the ring to properly extract g-2.





Example 1: Fake wiggles



Muons on the inside and outside for the storage ring have slightly different acceptance in the calorimeter

How big of an effect is this?





Can we see this?

This is the wiggle plot

This is what we see after subtracting the main wiggle

This turns a ~5 parameter fit into a ~25 parameter fit





Example II: Which magnetic field?





Can we see this?

Blue = field Red = beam



~20 ppb extra error in 2000 from this shift Remember 70 ppb is total error budget of Fermilab experiment



More complex examples



This is the term is non zero due to momentum spread around the magic momentum Momentum spread leads to a radial distribution in the beam Correction proportional to <x²>

Can we see these?

These corrections add up to close to a ppm. Almost 10 times the systematic errors.



Up-down asymmetries





Special case: EDM

No E field in lab frame but muon sees an E field in its rest frame. EDM will slowly precess around this (will never see this) But the entire precession plane tilts (can see this)





🛟 Fermilab



EDM Signature



Effect: 'North-south' asymmetry in calorimeter position

Positive-negative asymmetry in tracker angle

Both asymmetries are time dependent, have same period as g-2, and are 90 degrees out of phase

This allows us to make a completely independent physics measurement with the experiment



And many more...

- Some of the things I didn't mention
 - Verifying calorimeter pileup algorithms
 - Verifying calorimeter absolute energy scale early-to-late
 - Differential decay systematics
 - Closed orbit effects
 -
- Many things that were small enough to ignore in the Brookhaven experiment are no longer small enough. Goal is to pin these down with tracking.





Lets design a tracker



14 8/2/2016 B. Casey I Tracking for g-2



Physics goals

- Measure the beam profile in multiple locations around the ring.
 - Validates our model of beam dynamics needed to
 - Understand calorimeter acceptance changes due to beam breathing
 - Determine ppm level corrections to ω_{a} due momentum spread and betatron oscillations
 - Determine effective magnetic field map seen by the muons
 - Limit the size or radial and longitudinal magnetic fields
- Make an independent measurement of positron momentum
 - Can be used to validate calorimeter-only methods of determining pileup and gain systematic uncertainties in regions where tracker and calorimeter acceptance overlap





Design drivers



There are only a few places in the ring with a clear line of sight to the beam



Symmetry of calorimeters very useful for canceling and understanding systematics. Must be invisible to the calorimeters.





Requirements

- Need to measure beam profile with mm level accuracy
- Large extrapolation back to decay position requires percent level uncertainty on curvature and minimal material
- Requires better than 300 micron uncertainty on individual position measurements





Requirements

Parameter	value	comments	
Impact parameter resolution	$\ll 1 \text{ cm}$	Set by RMS of the beam	
Vertical angular resolution	$\ll 10 \text{ mrad}$	Set by angular spread in the beam	
Momentum resolution	$\ll 3.5\%$ at 1 GeV	Set by calorimeter resolution	
Vacuum load	5×10^{-5} Torr l/s	assumes 10^{-6} Torr vacuum and E821	
		pumping speed	
Instantaneous rate	10 kHz/cm^2	Extrapolated from E821	
Ideal coverage	$16 \times 20 \text{ cm}$	Front face of calorimeter	
Number of stations	≥ 2	Required to constrain beam	
		parameters	
Time independent field	< 10 ppm	Extrapolation from E821	
perturbation			
Transient $(< 1 \text{ ms})$ field	< 0.01 ppm	Invisible to NMR	
perturbation			



Technology choice: Si versus gas



Figure 8: Simulated impact parameter resolution (left) and momentum resolution (right) for a 1.5 GeV positron versus the radial spatial resolution on a hit at a given station assuming a 50 cm lever arm in the tracking volume and a decay point 50 cm before the first tracking station. The blue curve is for a massless detector. The red curve includes multiple scattering from a detector with 0.05% X_0 per station. The green curve includes multiple scattering from a detector.

Answer: both look good for 4 planes



Technology choice: Si versus gas

Both are OK for performance. Next question is geometry



You know where all the particle come from and you know exactly where to put the detector. Si always wins.



You need several planes and Si material adds up very quickly. So for high acceptance only choice is gas. In a vacuum, only choice is straws.



Tracker team

Now we know what to build, first step is to build the team



Canvas

 Baseline is straw systems in the vacuum optimized for EDM measurement in front of all calorimeter stations







Final iteration 2015





Lots of help on drafting from Dario Lusso





Lets start building



25 8/2/2016 B. Casey I Tracking for g-2



using silver epoxy, every straw resistance tested

Straws cut to 90.6mm lengths and aluminium ends bonded to straws

Straw production

UNIVERSITY OF

Slides from Kayleigh Thomson



🛟 Fermilab



Stringing

Slides from Kayleigh Thomson



Module stringing

- ➤Long readout pins threaded with 25µm wire and crimped on materials tester
- Wire threaded through module straw and short annealed pin on opposite side
- ➢Wire pre-tensioned to 30 grams
- Short pin hand-crimped
- ➢ Module jacked apart by 70µm to create 50 gram tension in wires







Inserting the electronics

Slides from Kayleigh Thomson





Inserting the modules

Slide from Mark Lancaster







Slides from James Mott

Frontend Electronics: Single Layer (64 straws)

8 ASDQs (in 4 boards):

- Shaping/discrimination
- Digital output







Slides from James Mott

Frontend Electronics: Single Layer (64 straws)



 Power & signals to/from ASDQs



Slides from James Mott

Frontend Electronics: Single Layer (64 straws)



- Shaping/discrimination
- **Digital output**



4 Flexicables:

 Power & signals to/from **ASDQs**

1 Feedthrough board:

- Backplane for all boards •
- Gas seal •





Slides from James Mott

Frontend Electronics: Single Layer (64 straws)









Slides from James Mott

Frontend Electronics: Single Layer (64 straws)





Electronics

Digital output

ASDQ

•

 \rightarrow

N

ω

Straws

4 Flexicables:

ASDQs

Frontend Electronics:

Slides from James Mott

Logic Board





Gas seal





Performance



Data taken by Eleonora Rossi from last years summer student program





Performance



These are based on prototypes. Verifying the performance of the

production modules this summer by Alessia Renardi and Marco Di Bella

8/2/2016 B. Casey I Tracking for g-2

38





Software

Slides from Tammy Walton







Getting ready for data

Slides from Tammy Walton

• Three phases of the of MDC

	MDC-0	MDC-1	MDC-2
# muons	100M	100B	100M
Generator	GasGun	GasGun	InflectorGun
Purpose	Testing Geometry, Data products, storage size, memory, speed	10% dataset; match BNL sample size; <i>practice</i>	Studies that require full orbits (e.g. Lost muons)
Status	In progress - finish by end of April	Start May	June?
			Courtesy

Lots of help setting up initial infrastructure from Antonio Anastasi



Conclusions

- Four fold improvement in determination of muon g-2 requires new instrumentation
 - Building trackers to provide the necessary info particularly about beam dynamics
- System is under construction but still a lot of work to do:
 - Construction
 - Verify performance
 - Installation
 - Commissioning
 - Software
 - Analysis
- Thanks for all the help from the Italian summer students and we hope you join g-2 in the future.

